

Homogeneity of Option Prices

What Does It Tell Us?

Call Prices are Homogeneous of Order 1 in Many Classes of Models



The Option price $C(S_0, K, T)$ is **homogeneous of order 1** in (S_0, K) when

$$C(\lambda.S_0, \lambda.K, T) = \lambda.C(S_0, K, T)$$

It means that if you double the current value of the asset price S_0 and the strike price K , you also double the price of the option.

Call Prices are Homogeneous of Order 1 in Many Classes of Models



The Option price $C(S_0, K, T)$ is **homogeneous of order 1** in (S_0, K) when

$$C(\lambda.S_0, \lambda.K, T) = \lambda.C(S_0, K, T)$$

It means that if you double the current value of the asset price S_0 and the strike price K , you also double the price of the option.

This is true for log-type models where increments of the log of the asset price are independent of the current value of the asset price under the risk neutral probability Q^1 .

This is true for a large number of models: Black-Scholes, Merton's jump diffusion, Variance Gamma, Heston, ...

¹Reference: M.S. Joshi (2001) "Log-Type Models, Homogeneity Of Option Prices And Convexity"

Call Prices are Homogeneous of Order 1 in Many Classes of Models



Typically, when you can write the asset price as following:

$$S_t = S_0 \cdot e^{X_t}$$

The price of the option is the discounted expectation of its future payoff:

$$C(S_0, K, T) = e^{-r \cdot T} \cdot E^Q \left((S_T - K)^+ \right)$$
$$C(S_0, K, T) = e^{-r \cdot T} \cdot E^Q \left((S_0 \cdot e^{X_T} - K)^+ \right)$$

$$C(\lambda \cdot S_0, \lambda \cdot K, T) = \lambda \cdot C(S_0, K, T)$$

What Does it Tell Us?

$$\lambda \cdot C(S_0, K, T) = C(\lambda \cdot S_0, \lambda \cdot K, T)$$

If you differentiate both sides of this equation with respect to λ you get:

$$C = S_0 \cdot \frac{\partial C}{\partial S_0} + K \cdot \frac{\partial C}{\partial K}$$

What Does it Tell Us?

$$\lambda \cdot C(S_0, K, T) = C(\lambda \cdot S_0, \lambda \cdot K, T)$$

If you differentiate both sides of this equation with respect to λ you get:

$$C = S_0 \cdot \frac{\partial C}{\partial S_0} + K \cdot \frac{\partial C}{\partial K}$$

If you can write the call price in the following form:

$$C = S_0 \cdot P_1 - K \cdot e^{-r \cdot T} \cdot P_2$$

You get the two following relationships:

$$P_1 = \frac{\partial C}{\partial S_0} \quad P_2 = - e^{r \cdot T} \cdot \frac{\partial C}{\partial K}$$

What Does it Tell Us?

In the Black-Scholes model we have:

$$C = S_0 \cdot N(d_1) - K \cdot e^{-r \cdot T} \cdot N(d_2)$$

What Does it Tell Us?

In the Black-Scholes model we have:

$$C = S_0 \cdot N(d_1) - K \cdot e^{-r \cdot T} \cdot N(d_2)$$

So we have

$$P_1 = N(d_1)$$

$$P_2 = N(d_2) = P(S_T \geq K)$$

What Does it Tell Us?

In the Black-Scholes model we have:

$$C = S_0 \cdot N(d_1) - K \cdot e^{-r \cdot T} \cdot N(d_2)$$

So we have

$$P_1 = N(d_1)$$

$$P_2 = N(d_2) = P(S_T \geq K)$$

$$N(d_1) = \frac{\partial C}{\partial S_0}$$

$N(d_1)$ is equal to the Δ of the option.

What Does it Tell Us?

In the Black-Scholes model we have:

$$C = S_0 \cdot N(d_1) - K \cdot e^{-r \cdot T} \cdot N(d_2)$$

So we have

$$P_1 = N(d_1)$$

$$P_2 = N(d_2) = P(S_T \geq K)$$

$$P(S_T \geq K) = -e^{r \cdot T} \cdot \frac{\partial C}{\partial K}$$

And if we differential both sides with respect to K we obtain a **direct relationship between the risk neutral density and the second derivative of the call price with respect to the strike price. This is a special case of the Breeden-Litzenberger formula.**

$$f_{S_T}(K) = e^{r \cdot T} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial K^2}$$

Contact Us



website: www.quant-next.com

email: contact@quant-next.com

Follow us on [LinkedIn](#)



Disclaimer

This document is for educational and information purposes only.

It does not intend to be and does not constitute financial advice, investment advice, trading advice or any other advice, recommendation or promotion of any particular investments.